

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

W

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/897,865	07/02/2001	Giorgio Trapani	M0023/7005 1378		
22832	7590 07/13/2004		EXAMINER		
KIRKPATRICK & LOCKHART LLP			CURTIS, CRAIG		
75 STATE S' BOSTON, M	TREET 1A 02109-1808	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
2000, 0.000 0.000			2872		
		DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	n No	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary						
		09/897,86		TRAPANI ET AL.		
		Examiner		Art Unit		
	The MAIL INC DATE - SALin commun	Craig Cur		2872		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUN risions of time may be available under the provision: SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this come reperiod for reply specified above is less than thirty (2) period for reply is specified above, the maximum is pretor reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IICATION. s of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenunication. 30) days, a reply within the statutatutory period will apply and wiy will, by statute, cause the apply	ent, however, may a reply be tin story minimum of thirty (30) day Il expire SIX (6) MONTHS from ication to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) fil	ed on 24 June 2004.				
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-27 is/are pending in the application.  4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.  Claim(s) is/are allowed.  Claim(s) 1-27 is/are rejected.  Claim(s) is/are objected to.  Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicat	ion Papers					
10)□	The specification is objected to by the training specification is objected to by the training specification is objected to be the specification is objected to be the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to by the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification in the specification in the specification is objected to be specification.	e: a) accepted or b) ection to the drawing(s) b g the correction is require	e held in abeyance. Seed if the drawing(s) is ob	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). jected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
2) D Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (		4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate		
B) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)  6) Other:						

Application/Control Number: 09/897,865 Page 2

Art Unit: 2872

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### Disposition of the Instant Application

- This Office action is responsive to Applicants' Response filed on 24 June 2004, which has been made of record in the file.
- In this response, Applicants have amended claims 1 & 21-24.
- Claims 1-27 presently are pending in the instant application.

#### Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970); and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

1. Claims 1-27 are rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double patenting as being unpatentable over claims 1-23 of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,970 B2. Although the conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because they are sufficiently similar to be subject to obviousness-type double patenting rejection.

Art Unit: 2872

Compare, for example, claim 1 of the instant application and claims 1-4 of U.S. Patent No. 6,630,970 B2.

# Response to Arguments

2. Applicants' arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but have been rendered moot in view of the new grounds of rejection set forth hereinbefore.

## **Contact Information**

3. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Craig Curtis, whose telephone number is (571) 272-2311. The facsimile phone number for Art Unit 2872 is (703) 308-7721.

Any inquiry of a general nature regarding the status of this application should be directed to the Group receptionist, whose telephone number is (703) 308-0956.

C.W.C. Craig H. Curtis Group Art Unit 2872 8 July 2004

Audrey Chang Primary Examiner Technology Center 2800